HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION No.16826 of 2007

Dated:23.08.2007

Komaragiri Uma Maheswari

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer, Taluk Police Station,
Bapatla, Guntur District and another.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.APPA RAO

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION No.16826 of 2007

ORAL ORDER: **(per the Hon'ble Sri Justice D.S.R.Varma)**

Heard the learned Assistant Government Pleader, representing the learned Advocate General, appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a telegram, dated 02.08.2007, by one Komaragiri Uma Maheswari, resident of Kothapalem, Bapatla, Taluk, Guntur District, to the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, High Court of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, *inter alia* alleging that on 27.07.2007, her husband was illegally detained by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Taluk Police Station, Bapatla.

3. In response to the notices, issued by this Court, the first respondent-Sub-Inspector of Police, Taluk Police Station, Bapatla, Guntur District, filed counter-affidavit, *inter alia,* stating that after receiving communication from this Court, he caused enquiries, during which, it is revealed that the alleged detenu is accused No.1 in a case registered in Crime No.52 of 2007, for the offences punishable under Sections 457 and 380 I.P.C., of Ponnur Town Police Station, Guntur District, and, in that connection, he was arrested on 12.08.2007 and was produced before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Ponnur, on 12.08.2007, and pursuant to the remand order, passed by the Magistrate, he is now lodged at Sub-jail, Ponnur. It is also stated that the factum of arrest of the alleged detenu was duly informed to his wife i.e., the petitioner herein.

4. In view of the revelations in the counter-affidavit, filed by the first respondent, we do not see any reason whatsoever to proceed any further in this matter.

5. For the foregoing, the writ petition fails and is liable to be closed.

6. In the result, the writ petition is closed, at the stage of admission. No costs.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

D.APPA RAO, J

23.08.2007

dr